## | O381FRAC

1 2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
4	V.	21 Cr. 446 (VSB)
5	ANDREW FRANZONE,	
6	Defendant.	Conference
7	44	
8		New York, N.Y. March 8, 2024 3:09 p.m.
10	Before:	
11	HON. VERNON S. BRODE	ERICK,
12		District Judge
13	APPEARANCES	
14		
15	DAMIAN WILLIAMS United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York	
16	BY: KIERSTEN A. FLETCHER, ESQ. Assistant United States Attorney	
17	ELENA FAST, ESQ.	
18	Attorney for Defendant	
19		
20	GEORGE J. VILA, ESQ. Attorney for Defendant	
21	(Present Via Microsoft Teams)	
22		
22 23		
23		

THE COURT: Okay. If I could ask, if we could go on the record, I'll have counsel please identify themselves for the record, beginning with the government.

MS. FLETCHER: Good afternoon, your Honor. Kiersten Fletcher for the government.

THE COURT: Okay. Good afternoon.

MS. FAST: Good afternoon, your Honor. For Mr. Franzone, Elena Fast.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you, Ms. Fast.

And by Microsoft Teams.

MR. VILA: Good afternoon. George Vila from Miami, Florida.

THE COURT: Okay. Good afternoon, Mr. Vila.

So I had asked to have this conference. At the last conference, I believe I appointed Ms. Fast to represent, although I may not have, but what I will do is at least in the interim, since you appeared at the last conference, you may have had conversations with Mr. Franzone and done other things in between, so obviously I'll approve you for that purpose. But the principal purpose was to determine whether or not there were going to be funds forthcoming, because it's my understanding that Mr. Franzone would like to retain counsel. And so Mr. Vila, I asked that Mr. Franzone's counsel in connection with the bankruptcy proceeding also be present so I could get a sense of, you know, what the potential or potential

prospect is with regard to Mr. Franzone being able to finance private representation.

So I don't know where necessarily to start. Perhaps, Mr. Vila, there had been some discussion about the liquidation of assets in connection with the bankruptcy that could possibly be used by Mr. Franzone in connection with retaining counsel.

And so my first question was, well, (A) how likely is that, and (B) even if the monies were liquidated or the materials were liquidated, would Mr. Franzone be able to use them or would they be monies that came back to an entity that Mr. Franzone is affiliated with? I don't know whether, Mr. Vila, you're able to speak to that or not, but I'll leave it up to you, and then if not, then I will determine the next step.

MR. VILA: Judge, good afternoon.

What I can tell the Court is the following: On February 20th of this year, I entered an appearance on behalf of four entities which are part of a bankruptcy procedure here in Miami, Florida, in the Southern District of Florida. One of the entities is the general partner of the debt, okay? I've been trying to just wrap my arms around the whole case. It's a very complex procedure, complex case, very convoluted. In terms of assets, I know this: I know that the fund had invested in a company called Coreweave. It had 250,000 shares. Out of those 250,000 shares, the trustee for the bankruptcy estate sold approximately 172,000 for \$55 million. My

understanding is that those \$55 million are sitting at the trustee's trust account, and are there. I have—my whole purpose of me coming into this bankruptcy procedure was basically to protect the claims that have been filed by the five entities. And that's pretty much as far as I can go. I have not done anything more than that.

And I'm—my background is I'm a criminal defense attorney. Because this was tied to a criminal case in New York, Mr. Franzone thought that it would be a good idea to bring someone with a criminal background to make sure things are done correctly. I'm in the process of retaining a bankruptcy lawyer to help me out with the claims on behalf of the four entities.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. VILA: I can represent this to the Court. The investors will get more than their investments back. The fund seems not to be insolvent. To the contrary, it's done amazing because of the investment that was made in the company Coreweave.

THE COURT: But am I correct that Coreweave was in connection with like a tender offer or something? In other words, that there was an actual equity event that became available to the trustee that the trustee was able to take advantage of and get approval for in the bankruptcy?

MR. VILA: That is correct, Judge, and that's exactly

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

what happened. However, there's still 67,000 shares there. My understanding is that this company would probably file or go public soon, and those shares would be very, very, very valuable. What the trustee intends to do, I don't know. I haven't even spoken with the trustee yet.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. VILA: So-

THE COURT: All right. And because, since the last conference—I'll note just for the record, Mr. Vila, that our last conference was actually on February 20th. And so I had been led to believe that something had been in the works in terms of liquidation of funds. I did take a look at the bankruptcy court docket. It's not clear to me, but I think a number of things need to be worked out in the bankruptcy, which I understand you're now getting involved in and need to But, you know, one of the concerns I had was, I knew there were various entities that Mr. Franzone was affiliated with or controlled or what have you, and so I wasn't sure that even if the funds were forthcoming, whether or not he would be able to utilize them himself in connection with retaining counsel. In reviewing the docket, although it appeared that Mr. Franzone initially had counsel, I think when the SEC and the U.S. Attorney's Office brought their respective matters, I think it appears from the docket that counsel withdrew, and it's not clear to me from the docket—again, I only took a look

at it earlier today for my brief perusal of the docket—that the trustee is in agreement that the entities that might be affiliated with Mr. Franzone would necessarily be entitled to any distribution, and I'm not sure about that. I'm not a bankruptcy lawyer. And literally I spent, you know, an hour or two just flipping through the stuff.

What I want to do here is move this case forward. And so, you know, based upon what I heard, it doesn't appear that any funds are imminently available. The trial has been scheduled for a while for June. I had indicated previously that I wanted to hold that date so that any counsel who came in should be prepared to try the case on that date, or close to it, because I know, Ms. Fast, you had a professional obligation around that time so that we might need to move the case a week or two earlier, I think.

So what I would suggest—and then I'll hear from the parties—what I'd like to do is appoint Ms. Fast to represent Mr. Franzone, with the idea that Ms. Fast would be Mr. Franzone's lawyer. If that's something that, for whatever reason, is something that can't happen, I'd like to know that, because it doesn't appear as if—and if I'm wrong about the ability of Mr. Franzone to retain counsel, then I'd like to know that also, because there may be other funds that aren't necessarily tied up with the bankruptcy that could be available. So that's my intention, so that we can move this

## 0381FRAC

case along. So Ms. Fast, I don't know whether you can, whether you've had any conversations, whether you can add anything to this, but I'll hear from you now.

MS. FAST: Yes, your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: If I could ask you to pull the microphone a little closer.

There you go. Thank you.

MS. FAST: So Mr. Vila was recently retained by Mr. Franzone. He's a private lawyer. There's no CJA in bankruptcy proceedings, as the Court is aware.

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. FAST: I've spoken with Mr. Franzone on several occasions. He tells me there is funds available that are not coming from any legal actions, that would be put up by a third party to retain private criminal counsel on Mr. Franzone's behalf. The issue that is happening with the trial being in June is Mr. Franzone is being quoted two different fees for when the case proceeds to trial, and the June trial date is about triple or double the fee that would be quoted versus had the case gone to trial later in the year. And Mr. Franzone does have the ability to retain the counsel of his choice if the trial is pushed back and not quoted at the double or triple the multiple.

THE COURT: Okay. Let me ask Ms. Fast, have you had an opportunity to speak with this lawyer, or these lawyers?

MS. FAST: I have not, your Honor. I'm relying on the client's representation.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you know who they are?

MS. FAST: Yes. I believe it's Mr. Corozzo, who previously entered a notice of appearance in the case.

THE COURT: Oh, Mr. Corozzo did in fact file pretrial motions. In fact, I think Mr. Corozzo was Mr. Franzone's attorney, I don't know for how long, but enough time that he actually had a substantive involvement in the case.

MS. FAST: Yes, your Honor. And if I may add, it's my understanding that Mr. Corozzo's mother passed away this week and her funeral is scheduled for Saturday, so neither Mr. Franzone nor myself thought it was appropriate to have conversations about retention this week.

THE COURT: No. I think that's fine. But what I want to do is get to the bottom of what's going on, because a couple of things. As we all know, you know, being able to retain counsel, a criminal lawyer, doesn't mean that you're going to be able to continue to have that lawyer represent you. If Mr. Corozzo is representing—and again, I don't want to get into sort of the nature of the retention, but if he's representing that for X, he will basically represent
Mr. Franzone through trial, okay, as opposed to, you give me a retainer and I'll represent you and then you'll pay me a little bit more, because I think that may have been what happened

previously, where I relieved Mr. Corozzo as counsel. So I think that what I would need, quite frankly, is to hear something sort of definitive, because it wouldn't do

Mr. Franzone any good if he has an attorney of his choice and that attorney only lasts until, you know, right before trial.

MS. FAST: Understood, your Honor.

THE COURT: And Ms. Fast, I think this is our first case together—

MS. FAST: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: —but I can tell you, my experience with the Criminal Justice Act lawyers is that they are of the highest quality in representing clients in criminal matters in this court. And I'm not saying, Mr. Franzone, that Mr. Corozzo isn't. I have not had Mr. Corozzo in my courtroom except for the filing of the motions, and they seem all to be professionally done, so I'm not in any way commenting on that. I'm just saying, I want to move this case forward.

So, Ms. Fast, the first question is: Are you saying that with regard to the earlier trial that the finances that Mr. Franzone has would not cover that? I'm just trying to figure out what actually is going on here, quite frankly.

MS. FAST: Yes, your Honor. My understanding—and this is based on my conversations with Mr. Franzone—is that the trial has different fees associated with it, and it's based on the attorney's need to put aside his other cases and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

prioritize this matter over everything else his firm has going on.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. FAST: So the June trial date, if that's the date that stays, would not be feasible for retained counsel to do solely because Mr. Franzone is unable to afford this premium double or triple fee—

THE COURT: Sure.

MS. FAST: —for the case to go in June.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Look, I think what makes sense is, Ms. Fast, I'm still appointing you, and so the time that you spent on the matter, you should obviously submit your vouchers. But I do think it makes sense for me to hear again from Mr. Corozzo concerning this, because, again, my concern is that Mr. Corozzo will come in, and again, I don't typically get involved in any way, shape, or form with regard to an attorney and their retention and the like, but in particular Mr. Corozzo has been in this case and then was relieved, and now he may be coming back, so I feel it's incumbent upon me to figure out whether he's in it for the long haul—in other words, whether there's financing for him to be in it for the long haul or we're just talking about a retention. And I know that you haven't been in touch with Mr. Corozzo, but I would want to hear from him about that. I'll have to think about how much detail I get into with regard

to the retention because my aim, my main aim is to make sure that Mr. Franzone has counsel and that counsel will be able to be prepared for trial rather than having the case move in sort of fits and starts.

So I'll issue an order. And I don't believe, since I relieved Mr. Corozzo, that he's continued to receive ECF notifications, but I was going to put it over for a week. I could put it over for two weeks. I just don't know what Mr. Corozzo's situation is.

Why don't we do this. I don't know what his schedule is like, but I will put it over for two weeks. We'll put it over for Friday, whatever that date in March is.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: 22nd.

THE COURT: 22nd at 3 p.m., at which time I'd like Mr. Corozzo to be present. And again, obviously, if he has other obligations, we can move that earlier in the week as needed.

Mr. Vila, obviously you can continue the process that you've undertaken in terms of the bankruptcy. And you're absolutely right. I mean, there are 681 entries in the bankruptcy. So I completely understand what you're saying in terms of—if that's a measure of complexity, that's one measure. Also, there are a number of different entities that are involved. So I'll leave that to you, and if there's a bankruptcy lawyer retained to, you know, go through that, to

figure that out, or to speak to the trustee to figure out where things stand. But I do want to move this case forward.

So I'll put this over until Friday, March 22nd, at 3 p.m. I'd ask Ms. Fast, again, if I could ask you to make sure that Mr. Corozzo gets the notification.

MS. FAST: Yes, your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: And in the interim, I'm not sure if I need to fill out paperwork to appoint you, but I will appoint you nunc pro tunc, dating back to previous to that.

And Ms. Fletcher, yes. You were rising.

MS. FLETCHER: Yes, your Honor. Just to maybe make a couple of points and seek clarification from the Court.

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. FLETCHER: As I think your Honor knows—and the government shares the Court's view that this case needs to move forward. This case has been pending since early 2021. Your Honor set the June trial date last September.

THE COURT: Yup.

MS. FLETCHER: And the issues surrounding
Mr. Franzone's desire to/wish to/ability to/inability to retain
counsel have been going on since November. We are I think
getting to the point where, if there's any uncertainty about
who his counsel is, there may be a real issue with keeping the
trial date. I think we are probably now at the point where,
were Ms. Fast to be his lawyer, we would start talking about

whether there's a pretrial disposition we could reach, what type of pretrial disclosure deadlines we might have mutually agreed to and propose to the Court. And so the point of clarification, your Honor, is, is your Honor's present intention to keep the June trial date and to move it up to accommodate Ms. Fast in the event that Mr. Corozzo does not come in? Or—

THE COURT: Oh, the answer would be yes, unless there's a reason that I shouldn't do that. And again,

Ms. Fast, I don't recall, but I thought that you had a trial obligation in July or—and I don't remember. But look, I will take my cue from the parties. Mr. Franzone is at liberty. I do want to move this case forward, to be quite frank. I'm somewhat troubled by this bouncing around of counsel. I think based upon my reading of the bankruptcy docket that there isn't a prospect in the short term of any funds coming from the bankruptcy, which I thought might be the prospect.

So Ms. Fletcher, I would want to try and keep the June date, but I really want to hear from Mr. Corozzo. I guess my initial intention was, yes, if Mr. Corozzo doesn't come in, I would like Ms. Fast to do that, unless she can't, in other words, just based upon her schedule.

MS. FLETCHER: So, your Honor, well, first of all, with respect to the bankruptcy proceeding, I am also not a bankruptcy lawyer, but I share your Honor's conclusion that

## 0381FRAC

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

there is at most significant uncertainty about whether funds are to be forthcoming from the bankruptcy proceeding, and so I would agree that it's not wise to count on those funds in assessing whether Mr. Franzone is or is not going to be able to retain counsel.

My understanding from the last proceeding—which I was not present for but my colleague attended—was that Ms. Fast was available to do a trial in June but not on June 10th, which is I think the date we've currently set for trial, but that she would be available June 3rd. And so if the Court is inclined to keep that date, what the government would propose is that that date be set as the trial date, and if Mr. Corozzo is retained and comes in, that he be prepared to proceed on that date, unless the Court is advised of facts that the Court doesn't currently have. And with that date, I think the government will be able, either in consultation with Ms. Fast or in consultation with Ms. Fast and Mr. Corozzo, discuss what a pretrial disclosure schedule might look like and discuss whether there's any possibility of a disposition here. We're somewhat powerless to do that and have been somewhat powerless to do that for some time, given the fluctuating counsel.

THE COURT: Yes. So let me ask first, Ms. Fast,

June 3rd, is that still something that you can do in light of
your professional obligations and otherwise?

MS. FAST: Your Honor, I'm available to start on

June 3rd, but I think the better question is will I be ready to
proceed. The discovery in this case, to inform your Honor, is
412,000 documents. Ms. Colson and I have spoken. We prepare
for trial very differently. All the files are in what's called
a Casepoint, where everything is electronic and you can insert
tabs and mark what's relevant, what's important. I'm a tabber.
Ms. Colson is not. So when I got the shared drive from her,
there was absolutely nothing in the documents that identified
what I should be focusing my efforts on. And the government
has been very kind to offer me their best "hits" as to what is
the best evidence against Mr. Franzone, but the issue I have is
I don't understand enough about the case without actually
sitting down and going through the discovery and developing a
defense. And Ms. Colson has been very generous with her time
and what she's told me about the case, and I do believe she can
get me up to speed, but it would be her assessment of the case
and not my assessment. And what I don't want to do is to
commit to the Court that I'll be ready to go and then not do a
phenomenal job on Mr. Franzone's behalf because I don't have
the time to actually review everything properly.

THE COURT: Sure. And what I'll say is, obviously, it should be your assessment, if you're Mr. Franzone's lawyer.

But let me ask then the second question, which is, you are now, from my perspective, Mr. Franzone's lawyer. Mr. Corozzo hasn't filed a notice, you know, no one has, but the question I have

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is whether you would be authorized—

And Ms. Fletcher, to your point, no, it sounds like June, even if Ms. Fast can stay in, based upon what I've heard, that I would have concern with going forward on that date. that date is going to slip. I don't know to where. And Ms. Fast, I don't know whether you've had an opportunity to speak to Mr. Franzone about whether you have the authority to engage in discussions with the government concerning—and again, the pretrial submissions, obviously that's something that I think we can put on the back burner, but with regard to potential dispositions, I think that's something that, you know, I'd like to move forward on, because that will decide obviously whether and when a trial might be. So Ms. Fast, I understand what you're saying, and I'm not going to put you in a position where you have concerns about your own ability to assess the case yourself in terms of what that means, and it may mean you still need time to do that to fruitfully engage the government in any plea discussions. But my view is you're Mr. Franzone's attorney right now. But until we meet with Mr. Corozzo, I don't know what is going to come of that. Well, this is what I'll say. It's two weeks. The trial is not going to be in June based upon what I've heard, Ms. Fast. And again, I don't know what exactly the differential is, but at least from what I'm hearing—but I'll have to hear from Mr. Corozzo—is that if it's pushed out, that there would be

funds available for Mr. Corozzo to come in, try the case.

That's what I'm hearing. I'm not sure that that's necessarily the case, quite frankly. I think what may be going on is there may be money for a retention but there may not be any money thereafter, and there may be promises of money, but that may not be, just because of what has happened so far. And the trial had been scheduled for over a year. And so I recognize, though, that it's not going to be in June, no matter what, it seems like. Well, no, not it seems like. It's not going to be in June.

But Ms. Fletcher, you were rising to say something.

MS. FLETCHER: Now that your Honor has made clear that the trial is not going to be in June, the government was going to inquire as to when the Court might next have availability so that to the extent Mr. Corozzo is going to come in, we could discuss dates and terms amongst ourselves before the next conference.

THE COURT: Sure. Monday, November 4th, or Tuesday, November 12th.

MS. FLETCHER: Both fine for the government. But we can discuss that with—

THE COURT: Yes. And look, you know, in looking at my trial calendar, I've got trials scheduled during the summer, and I think that sounds like it would be too soon anyway, so that's why we settled on those dates. So that's something to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

focus on with Mr. Corozzo. And I don't know whether, Ms. Fast, you know your schedule out that far, or do you know whether you're available during that time frame?

MS. FAST: I don't think I have any conflicts, your Honor. I'll certainly speak with the government and hopefully get in touch with Mr. Corozzo and get his availability if he's coming in.

Okay. All right. So let's plan on coming THE COURT: back on March 22nd. And I think I have excluded time through the trial, which was June 10th, so why don't we keep that exclusion right now, with the understanding that trial date is still something that's on the calendar. But in any event, in light of the circumstances, I would exclude the time anyway, between now and certainly March 22nd, from the time within which Mr. Franzone would have to be brought to trial. And of course that time is necessary so we can figure out counsel representation as well as the issue of the motions, as I had mentioned during our last conference. But we'll come back at 3:00 on the 22nd, at which time I'm going to want to inquire in all likelihood about—not necessarily any detail about what the fees might be, but whether or not we're talking about a retention that includes basically through trial or whether we're talking that monies will be paid in installments, in which case I would have some further questions about whether there are going to be really the funds needed to actually try

the case in November.

Let me ask, is there anything else that we should discuss today?

MS. FLETCHER: Your Honor, the only other open item from the last conference that I was made aware of is that I believe there was a discussion about the pending motions and the desire on behalf of Mr. Franzone to make an additional submission.

THE COURT: That's right. Yes. I think I had mentioned, because I don't know what the nature of that motion is, I think I had suggested that perhaps Mr. Franzone's counsel—Ms. Fast, since you're, again, his attorney, I don't know whether Mr. Franzone has shared with you the motion or not?

MS. FAST: I've seen the supplement, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. So I'd ask that you discuss with Mr. Franzone the nature of that motion and whatever your views are in connection with that and to determine whether you believe that's something that, as his attorney, you would file.

Yes.

MS. FLETCHER: I'm sorry, your Honor, I keep standing seeking clarification. Just so I understand, is this a new motion or is it a supplement to the existing motions to suppress?

THE COURT: I don't know. I mean, Ms. Fast described it as a supplement, but that could mean supplement meaning an additional motion as opposed to supplementing a prior motion.

Ms. Fast, is it a new—and by new, I mean a new legal theory, in other words, not dealing with the search warrants?

MS. FAST: Your Honor, I believe it addresses the search warrants. And speaking with Ms. Colson, I believe your Honor proposed a set of questions that your Honor sought answers to, and I believe these are the answers to your Honor's questions.

THE COURT: I see. Yes. Well, it didn't escape me that there were certain questions that I had asked for which I didn't get responses to. So that's helpful in terms of what that would be. So I still think, Ms. Fast, as Mr. Franzone's attorney, you should review it and come to your own assessment and speak with Mr. Franzone about that, and that will be another topic that we'll discuss at the next conference.

So a couple of things. I think, number one, were Mr. Franzone to submit this on his own, there's clearly a difference between, you know, a client submitting something, in particular when they're represented. Quite frankly, if you're represented, I wouldn't allow for a defendant to do that. But there I think would be implications for Mr. Franzone were he just to submit something in writing that later on certainly could be used, you know, in connection with the trial. But in

any event, I don't know exactly what those things are. The questions I was asking were certainly substantive and some specific, with regard to what things were going on, so, you know, I'll leave, Ms. Fast, that to you and to Mr. Franzone to discuss and then we'll reconvene. But it is helpful to know that it merely is sort of literally a supplementation, in other words, responding to questions I had with regard to the pending motion as opposed to a new motion.

Okay. Anything else from the government?

MS. FLETCHER: No, your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Anything, Ms. Fast?

MS. FAST: No, your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Vila, anything from Florida?

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$  VILA: Your Honor, do you want me to be back on the 22nd for that hearing or—

THE COURT: You know, Mr. Vila, it may make sense just so we can close the loop on any issues relating to the bankruptcy. If there is any movement down there, I just want to close that out. I'm not saying there needs to be any movement, I'm not saying that I need to have any additional clarity, because I think I'm going a different way, because from my assessment, unless something is pointed to me to show that there's going to be funds that are going to be coming out of the bankruptcy, sort of imminently, because there may be a

situation where the distribution to any of the folks who are entitled to get money may not be for a while, even though they have the \$55 million and I think I read that the other shares may be worth as much as \$20 million or something like that, that money may not—

MR. VILA: Well, you can—

THE COURT: That money may not be distributed for I don't know how long, and I haven't looked at what the plan is. And I don't want to get into that. But if you have some additional information concerning distribution date and entitlement of any of the entities that you represent that might result in Mr. Franzone getting funds, I'll hear from you. So if you could appear, I would appreciate it. And we will send you another Microsoft Teams dial-in information on that. All right?

MR. VILA: Perfect. That's no problem.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. VILA: Great.

THE COURT: Well, thank you, everyone. We made some progress. All right. Thank you very much. We'll stand adjourned.

MS. FAST: Thank you.

MS. FLETCHER: Thank you, your Honor.

MR. VILA: Thank you.

25 000